

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/755,541	01/05/2001	Anthony R. Rothschild	733755-6	5271	
23879 O'Melveny & l	7590 05/09/2008 Myers LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER		
IP&T Calenda	r Department LA-1118	CARLSON, JEFFREY D			
400 South Hop Los Angeles, (	e Street CA 90071-2899	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/09/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
09/755,541		ROTHSCHILD, ANTHONY R.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jeffrey D. Carlson	3622		

	Jeffrey D. Carlson	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AI	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.) See MPEP 766.07(	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period re- funder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on         A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS     </li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to  (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a companion of the companion	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially red	TE below); ducing or simplifying th	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.16 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		,	,
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims volud be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 62.64.65.68-70.72-77 and 79-95. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>M The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ol>		•	
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (     Other: See Continuation Sheet.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Jeffrey D. Carlson/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3622	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's claim amendments would be effective to overcome the 112 rejection and to finalize a proper showing of conception. Applicant's new vidence allegedly showing diligence will not be considered after final. The fine-rejection was proper at the time. As per MPEP 715.07(a), diligence need not be addressed until conception has been properly shown. Because a proper showing of conception was alcking the date, the examiner only addressed diligence in detail in the final rejection of 228/2069. These newly-presented reasons detailing the lack of diligence are not to be confused with an improper final rejection (MPEP 706.07(a)) having a new ground of rejection. As applicant points out, the ground of rejection (using Stanbach, etc.) is anything but new. There is opod and sufficient reason why the new evidence is necessary and was not presented earlier. 37 CFR 1.116(e). Because earlier-submitted evidence was insufficient to not a good and sufficient revisions.